Samuel Milliamy

Casselles 99006355SSER Document 12842 Filted 0028192020007, Fragge 2106164

Stan Taylor

Raphel Williamy

Rule 60(B) relief From the Judgment base upon Fraud From the defendant counsel and mirrepresention of the discovery and the intervoga torier, Fraud and Perjury was presented in the discovery and serve to me and the court Fiske V. Budes, C.C. A. 8th 1942, 125 F2S 891; Sec also Bucy V. Nevada Const. Co. C.C.A. 9th 1942/125 1=21213 on the Fraud war exter as ground for relief From Judgment, 1941, Y fed Ruler Serv. 942, 945, The amendment settles this problem by making Fraud on express . ground - for relief by motion See More and Rogers. Federal Relief From Civil Judgment, 1946, 55 yake LJ 623, 653 to 659 and Sect 3 moore's Sedaral Practice, 1938, 3267 et Jeg this doer not limit the power of the court When Froud has been prepetrated upon it see Hazel-Atlas glass Co. V. Har Food Empire Co. 1944, 64 S. Ct 997. U.S. 238, 88 L. Ed. 1250, the time limit for the velict by motion in the court and in the action in which the Judgment war vendered hav & been enlarged From SIX month to 1 year,

Cassel 1999er v 0066355SSIR Document 12842 Filtelo 028132220077 Fragge 3206164

Secouse of this Perjury and interrogatories was tile to me by the Desendente Cite ar 104 S. Ct. 2218 (1984) and the Judge Suc L. Kobinson, Biar and Pregdice in this ease by entering the Frond and by possing my right ot the Stamerdnest and 8th amend ment. 5 amanend ment when when the Judge abuse of discretion when she order the discovery act as my coursel is getting it Froud and the Full discovery war never presented She was told 3 time and did nothing Anderson V. Lloerty Lobby, Inc. 477 U.S. 242, 247 (1986) Fed R. C.v. P. 56(6) Anderson, 477 U. S. at 256. Meritorious de Sense, By the Judge and the defendanter that war raise 96 rite V. Worma Corp., C.A.PA, 732 F 20/ 1178 1181 the third Cir. Case and Supreme Court Case har nothing to do with this ease Last injunt Dovis V. Gruse meyer Citicar 996 F. 2d C17 (3rd civ 1993), this deal With only The 8t amendment they use third Cir core that hav nothing to do with what war done to me on the 5/our but 99-634' doer that it the only way they could try and use this Supreme Court Case deal with illegally detain and they are the base of the Supreme Court case Nothing to downthe the policie maker under Sed Kule 455 Recused of a - Sedoral Judge this is also stated in the Last notion that war Sile to this Court,

I am request to reverse the Judgment and Recuse! the Sedaral Judge the Court to order the discovery in 30 days and they request for a trial in favor of the Plaintist because of the Fraud and Perjury that war enter -ed by the defendants Respectably Sula mitted Samuel Thoole 1015 Colhano St Chester PA 2-4-07 Certificol Richard W. Folkbard 820 Spench St (S) Willing D6 19013 1980

AFFIDAVIT AND PROOF OF SERVICE

NOW COMES. ANDAFIRMS THAT HE IS THE THE AFORE CAPTIONED AND ATTACHED MATTER, THAT THE WITHIN LITIGANT IN AVERMENTS AND FACTS IN SUPPORT THEREFORE ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS PERSONAL EXPERIENCE, RECALL, KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, UNDER PENALTY FOR PERJURY. HE FURTHER AFFIRMS AND AVERS THAT HE HAS SERVED COPIES OF THE FOREGOING AND ATTACHED DOCUMENTS BY FIRET-CLASS MAIL TO THE PARTIES LISTED BELOW, BY HAVING PLACED THEM IN THE AUTHORITIES FOR MAILING, AS RULED PROPERLY AND TIMELY "FILED" BY AND IN HOUSTON vs LACK, 108 s. ct 2379, at 2383 (1988).

PRISON OFFICIALS, FOR THE I CERTIFY THAT THIS DOCUMENT WAS GIVEN TO SAID COURT. I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY FORWARDING TO THE THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT. 28 114 S.C. SEC. 1746.

Copie Rotice of Appeal Rule 60(B) C.C Certified

Richard W. 76 Lburd 820 French St 6 St. C10-497-0719

1018 Colhans St

Chester PA